A New Approach to an Old Concept for Reducing Shoulder Pain Caused by Gynecological Laparoscopy

Shahla Chaichian¹, Bahram Moazzami^{2*}, Ameneh Haghgoo², Kourosh Sheibani³

1- Minimally Invasive Techniques Research Center in Women, Tehran Medical Sciences Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

2- Pars Advanced and Minimally Invasive Medical Manners Research Center, Pars Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

3- Basir Research Center, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Background: The purpose of this study was to introduce a technique to extract the remaining peritoneal gas in order to improve the post-laparoscopic shoulder pain. **Methods:** This study included 12 patients undergoing laparoscopic gynecologic procedures between February and March 2016 in Minimally Invasive Techniques Research Center, Pars Hospital, Tehran, Iran. For complete suction of the air from abdominal cavity, the air was first vacuumed from the pelvic cavity in Trendelenburg position and then the patients were put in anti-Trendelenburg position. In this position, as the remaining gas was shifting toward subdiaphragmatic area, the suction tube was shifted to a position next to the camera canal and the remaining air was suctioned. A 10 point visual analogue scale was used to measure the severity of patients' post-operative shoulder pain.

Results: The mean VAS for shoulder pain was $0.8\pm1.7 \ 4 \ hr$ post-surgery. At 12 hr post-surgery, the mean VAS was 0.8 ± 1.5 . At 24 hr post-surgery, the mean VAS for shoulder pain was 0.3 ± 0.8 . Finally, 48 hr post-surgery, the VAS score for all patients was zero.

Conclusion: Our approach for emptying the abdominal cavity from residual gas after laparoscopic procedures seems to be useful in preventing post-operative shoulder pain among patients undergoing gynecological laparoscopic surgeries. Further studies are suggested to compare the effect of our proposed method with other methods.

Keywords: Gynecology, Iran, Laparoscopy, Pain, Shoulder, Surgery. **To cite this article:** Chaichian Sh, Moazzami B, Haghgoo A, Sheibani K. A New Approach to an Old Concept for Reducing Shoulder Pain Caused by Gynecological Laparoscopy. J Reprod Infertil. 2018;19(1):56-60.

Introduction

Pain management after laparoscopic procedures has an important impact on patients' satisfaction (1, 2). Abdominal, shoulder, and back pain occurs frequently after laparoscopic gynecological procedures (2). The etiology of the post-laparoscopic pain is very complex (2). This pain is usually felt in abdomen and also shoulder areas (3). The suggested sources of abdominal pain include disruption of tissues, and port site pain (1, 4). Previous studies have indicated 35% to 80% incidence of shoulder pain following lapa-

roscopy (3, 4). Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is considered to be the main cause of post-operative shoulder pain attributed to its peritoneal stretching and irritation of the diaphragm. Several studies have shown a relation between the residual gas volume and the severity of post-operative shoulder pain (3-7).

To reduce the post-laparoscopic shoulder pain, several methods have been suggested including the use of a peritoneal gas drain in the first 4-6 hours following laparoscopy, intraperitoneal local

* Corresponding Author: Bahram Moazzami, Pars Hospital, Keshavarz Blvd., Tehran 1415944911, Iran *E-mail:* bahrammoazzami@gmail. com

Received: Oct. 14, 2017 **Accepted:** Dec. 12, 2017 anaesthesia, pulmonary recruitment maneuver, intraperitoneal saline infusion, gasless laparoscopy and reduction in insufflation pressure (8-14). It has been suggested that these methods reduce postoperative shoulder pain by decreasing the volume of residual intraperitoneal gas (3, 15, 16), but there is no consensus among researchers regarding the effectiveness of above mentioned methods (17-20).

In the present study, a technique to extract the remaining abdominal gas among patients who undergo uncomplicated laparoscopic gynecologic procedures was evaluated regarding its effectiveness in reducing the post-laparoscopic shoulder pain.

Methods

The Minimally Invasive Techniques Research Center, Pars hospital ethics committee approved this case series study and informed written consent for conducting and later publication of the study results was obtained from all participants before the start of study. The study was conducted in Minimally Invasive Techniques Research Center, Pars hospital, Tehran, Iran, from February to March 2016 and included twelve patients with age range of from 17 to 59 years who underwent uncomplicated gynecological laparoscopic procedures. Patients with any history of pre-operative shoulder pain were excluded from the study. Also, patients with a history of pulmonary disorder, abdominal or pelvic pain, tubo-ovarian abscess and severe adhesions in abdominal or pelvic regions were excluded from the study. All patients entering the study were operated by the same surgical team. All patients were given intravenous Cefazolin (1 g) following induction of the general anesthesia as a prophylactic antibiotic. Laparoscopy was performed by direct trocarization and CO₂ insufflation. Two 5 mm ports were inserted through outer upper margins of bilateral rectus muscle sheaths, a 10 mm port through umbilicus for telescope insertion, and then a suprapubic trocar as described before (21). CO_2 was insufflated with the rate of 2 *l/min* for the first step of procedure and the gas pressure was maintained in 12-16 mmHg range throughout the procedure as described before (21). Post-operative pain was controlled using NSAIDs. If the patients complained of pain post-operatively, oral doses of 100 mg diclofenac were prescribed. These findings were recorded for all participants: age, body mass index (BMI), nausea and/or vomiting, and the time to first gas passing. Also, the duration of laparoscopic procedure, post-operative hospital stay and any complications were recorded as well. A 10 point visual analogue scale (VAS) was used to measure the severity of patients' post-operative shoulder pain at 4, 12, 24 and 48 hr post-operatively. The score 0 in VAS scale indicated "no pain" and the score 10 indicated the worst pain possible and patients were asked to give a score from 0 to 10 based on their severity of pain. The pain score was assessed by nursing staff, who were blinded regarding the aims and design of the study. The main objectives of the present study were to evaluate shoulder pain at 4, 12, 24 and 48 hr after the surgery and the demand for analgesic medications.

Technique for gas extraction: Complete suction of the air from abdominal cavity after laparoscopy was performed as follows: The air from the pelvic cavity in Trendelenburg position was vacuumed and then the patients were put in anti Trendelenburg position. In this position, as the remaining gas was shifting toward subdiaphragmatic area, the suction tube was shifted to a position next to the camera canal and the remaining air was suctioned with reducing speed mode to prevent any shift of internal organs toward the suction pores. The process was stopped after complete air evacuation under optimal vision. At the end of the surgery, the suction and umbilical trocar were discharged under careful visual examination.

Results

All 12 patients entering the study completed the laparoscopic surgery and the follow up period. Table 1 shows the patients baseline characteristics. The mean age of patients was 33 years and the most common cause of the laparoscopy was endometriosis resection (4 patients) followed by the resection of other ovarian cysts.

Table 1. Patients' baseline characteristics

Variable	Mean±SD	Median (range)
Age	33.3±12.6	32 (17 to 59)
BMI	23±3.2	23 (16.2 to 28.2)
Bleeding (ml)	383±159	400 (200 to 600)
Type of surgery		n(%)
Endometriosis resection		2 (16.7%)
Endometriosis resection +DIE		1 (8.3%)
Myectomy	2 (16.7%)	
Partial hysterectomy		1 (8.3%)
Resection of other ovarian cysts		3 (25.0%)
Resection of ot +Myectomy	1 (8.3%)	
Total hysterecto	2 (16.7%)	

Time	Shoulder	Abdomen (VAS)	Abdomen (Touch)
4 hr			
Mean±SD	0.8±1.7	1.8 ± 2.1	3.3±2.3
Median (range)	0 (0 to 6)	1.5 (0 to 8)	2 (1 to 9)
12 hr			
Mean±SD	0.8±1.5	2.6±2.6	3.8±2.6
Median (range)	0 (0 to 5)	2 (0 to 9)	3 (1 to 10)
24 hr			
Mean±SD	0.3 ± 0.8	1.6±1.7	2.3±2.2
Median (range)	0 (0 to 2)	1 (0 to 6)	2 (0 to 8)
48 hr			
Mean±SD	0.1±0.3	0.7±1	1.1±1.2
Median (range)	0 (0 to 1)	0 (0 to 3)	1 (0 to 4)

Table 2. Shoulder and abdominal pain after surgery

 Table 3. Analgesics demand, the time for food tolerance, gas passing, urination after the surgery as well as the number of surgical complications and the length of hospital stay among patients

Mean±SD	Median (range)
1.4 ± 0.9	1.5 (0 to 3)
0.9±1	1 (0 to 3)
0.5±0.7	0 (0 to 2)
2.8±2.2	2.5 (0 to 7)
6±3.6	5.5 (1 to 15)
8.8±3.9	9 (4 to 18)
3.3±1	3 (2 to 6)
2.1±1.2	
	1.4±0.9 0.9±1 0.5±0.7 2.8±2.2 6±3.6 8.8±3.9 3.3±1

At 4 hr post-surgery, the mean VAS for shoulder pain was 0.8 ± 1.7 and VAS reading of higher than 2 indicating a considerable pain was detected in 1 patient. At 12 hr after surgery, the mean VAS was 0.8 ± 1.5 and considerable shoulder pain was observed in 1 patient. At 24 hr after surgery, the mean for shoulder pain was 0.3 ± 0.8 and none of patients had considerable shoulder pain. Finally, 48 hr post-surgery, the VAS score for all patients was zero (Table 2).

The mean VAS score for abdominal pain at 4, 12, 24 and 48 *hr* after surgery measured by VAS and abdominal touch is summarized in table 2. The mean number for analgesics demand in the first day after surgery, the second day after surgery and thereafter is summarized in table 3. The mean duration of hospitalization for our patients was 2.1 ± 1.2 days; with only one patient staying at hospital for more than 48 *hr* (Table 3). Table 3 also shows the time for food tolerance, gas passing and urination after the surgery as well as surgical complications. There was only one patient

(8.3%) with surgical complication (partial bowel resection due to endometriosis adhesion).

Discussion

Abdominal and shoulder pain are common after laparoscopic surgery. Retained carbon dioxide (CO_2) in abdominal cavity is considered to be the main cause of post-operative shoulder pain and some researchers have indicated a relation between the residual gas volume and the severity of post-operative shoulder pain (3-7, 21). Different methods including drainage have been used to remove the gas in the peritoneal cavity and reduce the post-operative pain with different degrees of reported effectiveness (21-24).

In the present study, it was found that our approach for complete removal of residual gas after uncomplicated gynecological laparoscopic procedures has an acceptable effect on shoulder pain. No drain was placed after using our method which potentially simplifies the post-operative patient care and potentially shortens the length of hospital stay.

The mean VAS score for shoulder pain after using our method was around 0.8 ± 1.7 at the first 4 post surgical hours and it was reduced to zero after 48 hr. In comparison, Kerimoglu et al. (4) have reported a VAS average of 4.1 at six hr and 0.8 at twenty-four hr after laparoscopy when there was no drain implementation and 2.7 and 0.9, respectively when they put a drain in place. An average abdominal pain of 1.8±2.1 four hr after laparoscopy was found which is somehow lower than Kerimoglu et al.'s (4) findings who have reported a mean VAS score of 4.1 and 2.7 for abdominal pain 6 and 12 hr after laparoscopy without drain placement and a mean score of 5.3 and 2.9, respectively if a drain was kept in place after the surgery. At 12 hr after the surgery, our patients had a mean abdominal pain of 2.6±2.6 which was comparable to Kerimoglu et al.'s (4) findings who reported a mean VAS score of 2.7 for abdominal pain without drain placement and a mean score 2.9 if a drain was kept in place at twelve hours after the surgery. Also, our average length of hospital stay was 2.1±1.2 days which is comparable to 1.8 days reported by the same authors (4).

There was only one patient with complication (partial bowel resection due to rectal involvement with endometriosis) and none of patients needed repeating of surgery.

A main limitation of many previous studies examining the effectiveness of drainage in reducing post-laparoscopic shoulder pain is the inclusion of patients with a wide range of surgical indications (21). Our study had a similar limitation since patients undergoing laparoscopy due to different gynecologic disorders were included. The present study also had some other limitations, such as not having a control group and a relatively small sample size.

Conclusion

Our approach for emptying the abdominal cavity from residual gas after gynecologic laparoscopic procedures seems to be useful in preventing postoperative shoulder pain and also reducing the duration of post-laparoscopic hospital stay. It is probable that this method could be used as a noninvasive technique for reducing post-laparoscopic shoulder pain. Further prospective studies are suggested to compare the effect of our proposed method with previous methods of pain reduction with or without drain placement to confirm its usefulness.

Conflict of Interest

None of authors have any conflict of interest with the subject matter of this manuscript.

References

- 1. Readman E, Maher PJ, Ugoni AM, Gordon S. Intraperitoneal ropivacaine and a gas drain: effects on postoperative pain in laparoscopic surgery. J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc. 2004;11(4):486-91.
- Shen CC, Wu MP, Lu CH, Kung FT, Huang FJ, Huang EY, et al. Effects of closed suction drainage in reducing pain after laparoscopic-assisted vaginal hysterectomy. J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc. 2003; 10(2):210-4.
- Craciunas L, Stirbu L, Tsampras N. The use of a peritoneal gas drain following gynecological laparoscopy: a systematic review. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2014;179:224-8.
- Kerimoglu OS, Yilmaz SA, Pekin A, İncesu F, Dogan NU, İlhan TT, et al. Effect of drainage on postoperative pain after laparoscopic ovarian cystectomy. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2015;35(3):287-9.
- Jackson SA, Laurence AS, Hill JC. Does post-laparoscopy pain relate to residual carbon dioxide? Anaesthesia. 1996;51(5):485-7.
- Sarli L, Costi R, Sansebastiano G, Trivelli M, Roncoroni L. Prospective randomized trial of low-pressure pneumoperitoneum for reduction of shouldertip pain following laparoscopy. Br J Surg. 2000;87 (9):1161-5.

- Abbott J, Hawe J, Srivastava P, Hunter D, Garry R. Intraperitoneal gas drain to reduce pain after laparoscopy: randomized masked trial. Obstet Gynecol. 2001;98(1):97-100.
- Sharami SH, Sharami MB, Abdollahzadeh M, Keyvan A. Randomised clinical trial of the influence of pulmonary recruitment manoeuvre on reducing shoulder pain after laparoscopy. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2010;30(5):505-10.
- Phelps P, Cakmakkaya OS, Apfel CC, Radke OC. A simple clinical maneuver to reduce laparoscopyinduced shoulder pain: a randomized controlled trial. Obstet Gynecol. 2008;111(5):1155-60.
- Guido RS, Brooks K, McKenzie R, Gruss J, Krohn MA. A randomized, prospective comparison of pain after gasless laparoscopy and traditional laparoscopy. J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc. 1998;5 (2):149-53.
- 11. Kaufman Y, Hirsch I, Ostrovsky L, Klein O, Shnaider I, Khoury E, et al. Pain relief by continuous intraperitoneal nebulization of ropivacaine during gynecologic laparoscopic surgery--a randomized study and review of the literature. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2008;15(5):554-8.
- Kahokehr A, Sammour T, Srinivasa S, Hill AG. Systematic review and meta-analysis of intraperitoneal local anaesthetic for pain reduction after laparoscopic gastric procedures. Br J Surg. 2011; 98(1):29-36.
- Tsimoyiannis EC, Siakas P, Tassis A, Lekkas ET, Tzourou H, Kambili M. Intraperitoneal normal saline infusion for postoperative pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. World J Surg. 1998(8): 824-8.
- Donatsky AM, Bjerrum F, Gögenur I. Surgical techniques to minimize shoulder pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. A systematic review. Surg Endosc. 2013;27(7):2275-82.
- Nursal TZ, Yildirim S, Tarim A, Noyan T, Poyraz P, Tuna N, et al. Effect of drainage on postoperative nausea, vomiting, and pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Langenbecks Arch Surg. 2003; 388(2):95-100.
- 16. Wills VL, Hunt DR. Pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Br J Surg. 2000;87(3):273-84.
- 17. Tsai HW, Wang PH, Yen MS, Chao KC, Hsu TF, Chen YJ. Prevention of postlaparoscopic shoulder and upper abdominal pain: a randomized controlled trial. Obstet Gynecol. 2013;121(3):526-31.
- Raymond AP, Chan K, Deans R, Bradbury R, Vancaillie TG, Abbott JA. A comparative, single-blind, randomized trial of pain associated with suction or non-suction drains aftergynecologic laparoscopy. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2010;17(1):16-20.

JRI Reducing Pain Caused by Gynecological Laparoscopy

- 19. Özdemir-van Brunschot DM, van Laarhoven KC, Scheffer GJ, Pouwels S, Wever KE, et al. What is the evidence for the use of low-pressure pneumoperitoneum? A systematic review. Surg Endosc. 2016;30(5):2049-65.
- 20. Nasajiyan N, Javaherfourosh F, Ghomeishi A, Akhondzadeh R, Pazyar F, Hamoonpou N. Comparison of low and standard pressure gas injection at abdominal cavity on postoperative nausea and vomiting in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Pak J Med Sci. 2014;30(5):1083-7.
- Haghgoo A, Chaichian S, Ghahremani M, Nooriardebili S, Akbaian A, Moazzami B. The Use of Peritoneal Suction Drainage to Reduce Shoulder Pain Caused by Gynecological Laparoscopy. Arch

Iran Med. 2016;19(3):173-8.

- 22. Healey M, Maher P, Hill D, Gebert R, Wein P. Factors associated with pain following operative laparoscopy: a prospective observational study. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 1998;38(1):80-4.
- Georgiou C, Demetriou N, Pallaris T, Theodosopoulos T, Katsouyanni K, Polymeneas G. Is the routine use of drainage after elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy justified? A randomized trial. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A. 2011;21(2):119-23.
- Alexander JI, Hull MG. Abdominal pain after laparoscopy: the value of a gas drain. Br J Obstet Gynaecol. 1987;94(3):267-9.